

WAVEPACKETS AND DUALITY IN NONCOMMUTATIVE PLANAR QUANTUM MECHANICS

Subir Ghosh

Physics and Applied Mathematics Unit,
Indian Statistical Institute,
203 B. T. Road, Calcutta 700108,
India.

Abstract:

Effects of noncommutativity are investigated in planar quantum mechanics in the coordinate representation. Generally these issues are addressed by converting to the momentum space. In the first part of the work we show noncommutative effects in a Gaussian wavepacket through the broadening of its width. We also rederive results on $\ast$ -product of Gaussian wavepackets. In the second part, we construct a "Master" model for a noncommutative harmonic oscillator by embedding it in an extended space. Different gauge choices leading to different forms of noncommutativity, (such as between coordinates only, between momenta only or noncommutativity of a more general kind), can be studied in a unified and systematic manner. Thus the dual nature of these theories are also revealed.

Keywords: Noncommutative quantum mechanics, Constraint systems, Batalin-Tyutin quantization.

Introduction: Non-Commutative (NC) Quantum Field Theories (QFT) [1] have created a lot of interest in the High Energy Physics community because of its direct connection to certain low energy limits of String theory [2]. Noncommutativity is induced in the open string boundaries that are attached to D-branes, in the presence of a two-form background field. This phenomenon in turn renders the D-branes into NC manifolds. Stringy effects are manifested in NCQFT framework. The major advantage of working in the latter is that the basic structure of

QFT in conventional (commutative) spacetime remains intact and the fundamental (two-point) correlation functions of QFT are not modified by NC effects. This vital fact emerges from the construction of the NC generalization of a conventional QFT where the products of the field operators in the QFT action are replaced by $*$ -product (or Moyal-Weyl product) defined below,

$$\hat{f}(x) * \hat{g}(x) = e^{\frac{i}{2}\theta_{\mu\nu}\partial_{\sigma\mu}\partial_{\xi\nu}} \hat{f}(x+\sigma)\hat{g}(x+\xi) |_{\sigma=\xi=0} = \hat{f}(x)\hat{g}(x) + \frac{i}{2}\theta^{\rho\sigma}\partial_{\rho}\hat{f}(x)\partial_{\sigma}\hat{g}(x) + O(\theta^2), \quad (1)$$

where $\theta_{\mu\nu}$ is conventionally taken as a constant anti-symmetric tensor. $\hat{f}(x)$ stands for the NC extension of $f(x)$.¹

Exploiting the above rule (1), one derives the spacetime noncommutativity in x_{μ} -space,

$$[x_{\mu}, x_{\nu}]_* = x_{\mu} * x_{\nu} - x_{\nu} * x_{\mu} = i\theta_{\mu\nu}. \quad (2)$$

In the present work we will only be concerned with spatial noncommutativity in a plane and so (2) reduces to

$$\{x^i, x^j\} = \theta\epsilon^{ij} ; \quad \epsilon^{12} = 1. \quad (3)$$

Note that in our classical setup we will interpret the noncommutativity as a modification in the symplectic structure.

From the structure of the $*$ -product it is clear that the θ -contributions in the quadratic terms in the NC action will be total derivatives and hence are dropped from the action. For this reason the free QFTs are not changed under NC extension [1].

Effects of noncommutativity are often analyzed in terms of momentum variables [1]. This is because the momentum degrees of freedom (in general) behave in a canonical way, obeying the symplectic structure,

$$\{p_i, p_j\} = 0 ; \quad \{x_i, p_j\} = \delta_{ij}. \quad (4)$$

Here the coordinates are noncommutative given by (3).

In case of NC quantum field theories, NC effects modify only the interaction vertices when the fields are expressed by their Fourier transforms through momentum variables. On the other hand, in NC quantum mechanical models, one replaces [3, 4] the original coordinates by a canonical set of variables carrying a representation of the NC algebra. In terms of this new set of variables, the NC effects are manifestly present in the Hamiltonian.

However, it is also imperative to know how the NC effects are reproduced if one pursues with the NC coordinates. This brings us to the perspective of our work. In the first part we concentrate on the non-locality induced by noncommutativity. It has been shown by Gopakumar, Minwalla and Strominger [5] (see also [6]) that if f and g are wavepackets of small width $\Delta \ll \sqrt{\theta}$ then their $*$ -product has a larger region of support $\frac{\theta}{\Delta}$. In fact this unusual behavior is responsible for existence of the NC scalar solitons [5] in higher dimensions. The above relation has been derived in the momentum representation.

We recover the noncommutativity induced fuzzyness through the broadening of a Gaussian wavepacket *working throughout with NC coordinates*. The calculations are done up to the lowest nontrivial order in θ (i.e. $O(\theta^2)$) but it can be generalized in a straightforward way to higher

¹ $f(x)$ and $\hat{f}(x)$ are related in a non-trivial way by the Seiberg-Witten Map when quantum gauge theories are being considered. However, this is of no concern in the present work.

powers of θ . This is a new result. We also recover the well known result [5] that products of Gaussians become defocussed.

In the second part of our paper, we develop a coordinate representation for NC quantum mechanics, using the NC planar harmonic oscillator as an example. The problem is that from the ordinary oscillator Hamiltonian,

$$H = \frac{1}{2m}p_i p_i + \frac{1}{2}x_i x_i, \quad i = 1, 2 \quad (5)$$

replacing the products by $*$ -products one generates the same H as in (5) because

$$x_i * x_i = x_i x_i + \frac{i}{2}\theta \epsilon_{ij} \delta_{ij} \equiv x^2; \quad p_i * p_i = p_i p_i \equiv p^2.$$

NC effects in coordinate representation can appear in the expectation values where the action of an operator $\mathcal{O}$ in the position (x) basis is formally expressed as $\sim \langle x | * \mathcal{O} | \psi \rangle$ ². In the conventional framework [3, 4], this is simulated by working with a new set of canonical variables $\{Q_i, P_j\} = \delta_{ij}$ with the identification,

$$x_i = Q_i - \frac{\theta}{2}\epsilon_{ij}P_j; \quad p_i = P_i, \quad (6)$$

so that the NC algebra of (3-4) is maintained. The H written in terms of (Q, P) variables now exhibit the NC effect through the θ -dependent terms. However, *the new degrees of freedom (Q_i, P_j) are obviously different from the spatial coordinate and momenta* and this makes the physical picture unclear.

We, on the other hand, work with the original (coordinate and momentum) phase space and embed the system in an extended space, in the Batalin-Tyutin (Hamiltonian) framework [7]. (For application of the Batalin-Tyutin prescription, see for example [8].) The NC effects manifest themselves through the embedding procedure. In fact this generalization acts as a "Master" model from which different Hamiltonians with distinct symplectic structures can be obtained. This clearly demonstrates the duality between different types of noncommutativity, (such as between coordinates, between momenta and between both coordinates as well as momenta), that are induced by different gauge choices in the same Master model. Indeed, one can choose a specific form of noncommutativity that is suitable for a particular problem. Similar conclusions have been reached in [9] in the Lagrangian framework and we will borrow heavily from this work.

NC effects in Gaussian wavepackets: We will study the effect of noncommutativity on a Gaussian wavepacket in the plane given by $\exp(-x^2/\Delta^2)$ where $x^2 \equiv x_i x_i$. We define the NC generalization of an exponential function in the following way,

$$(e^A)_{NC} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(A)_*^n}{n!} = 1 + A + \frac{1}{2}A * A + \dots, \quad (7)$$

so that products in the ordinary space are replaced by $*$ -products.

²I thank Dr. B.Muthukumar for pointing this out.

As an aside, we note that this definition keeps the plane waves unchanged if noncommutativity is switched on since,

$$(e^{ik_j x_j})_{NC} = e^{ik_j x_j} \quad (8)$$

where we have used the identity,

$$(k.x) * (k.x) = (k.x)^2 + \frac{i}{2} \theta \epsilon_{ij} k_i k_j = (k.x)^2. \quad (9)$$

This might be considered to be in agreement with the fact that the *free* field theories remain unchanged in their NC extension and plane waves represents solutions of the free theory dynamics.

Alternatively, one might consider the Baker-Campbel-Hausdorff formula in the present case

$$e^{ik_j x_j} \equiv e^{i(k_1 x_1 + k_2 x_2)} = e^{ik_2 x_2} e^{ik_1 x_1} e^{-\frac{i}{2} \theta k_1 k_2}, \quad (10)$$

from which the NC-generalization yields

$$(e^{ik_j x_j})_{NC} = e^{ik_2 x_2} * e^{ik_1 x_1} e^{-\frac{i}{2} \theta k_1 k_2}. \quad (11)$$

Phase factor induced by the $*$ -product will cancel the Baker-Campbel-Hausdorff phase thus recovering (8) ³.

Let us start by rederiving the famous result [5] that NC effects tend to broaden the product of Gaussian wave packets. Since these wave packets appear as examples [5] of the simplest scalar solitons in NC spacetime, we briefly introduce the solitons as in [5] for completeness.

The energy functional of a scalar theory in 2+1-dimensions is [5]

$$E = \int d^2 x \left(\frac{1}{2} \partial^\mu \phi \partial_\mu \phi + \theta V(\phi) \right), \quad (12)$$

where the coordinates are scaled to dimensionless variables $x \rightarrow x\sqrt{\theta}$. The polynomial potential in NC spacetime is $V(\phi) = c(\phi * \phi * \dots * \phi)$. In the limit $\theta \rightarrow \infty$ only the potential term in (12) survives and its extremization gives the equation of motion,

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi} = 0. \quad (13)$$

It was pointed out in [5] that non-trivial solutions ϕ_0 of (13) can appear provided the following generic relation holds:

$$(\phi_0 * \phi_0)(x) = \phi_0(x). \quad (14)$$

That the above is possible in NC spacetime was demonstrated in [5] by considering a Gaussian wave packet

$$\psi_\Delta = \frac{1}{\pi \Delta^2} e^{-\frac{x^2}{\Delta^2}}, \quad (15)$$

and its Fourier transform

$$\tilde{\psi}_\Delta(k) = \int d^2 x e^{ik.x} \psi_\Delta(x) = e^{-\frac{k^2 \Delta^2}{4}}. \quad (16)$$

³I thank Dr. B.Muthukumar for comments.

Taking in to account the effect of $*$ -product one finds

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\psi}_\Delta * \tilde{\psi}_\Delta)(p) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int d^2k \tilde{\psi}_\Delta(k) \tilde{\psi}_\Delta(p-k) e^{\frac{i}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu} k^\mu (p-k)^\nu} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi\Delta^2} e^{-\frac{p^2}{8}(\Delta^2 + \frac{1}{\Delta^2})}, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

which in coordinate space gives

$$(\psi_\Delta * \psi_\Delta)(x) = \frac{1}{\pi^2 \Delta^2 (\Delta^2 + \frac{1}{\Delta^2})} e^{\frac{-2x^2}{(\Delta^2 + \frac{1}{\Delta^2})}}. \quad (18)$$

Clearly, a packet with $\Delta^2 = 1$ squares to itself (modulo a factor of 2π) [5]. Note that $\phi_0(x)$ is a solution of the field equation (13) in the $\theta \rightarrow \infty$ limit but the derivation in (15)-(18) is independent of this restriction.

Now similar results can be derived in our formalism as we now demonstrate. The basic identity to be exploited now is

$$x^2 * x^2 = x^2 - \theta^2, \quad (19)$$

where we have used

$$\theta_{ij} \theta_{ij} = \theta^2 \epsilon_{ij} \epsilon_{ij} = 2\theta^2$$

considering two spatial dimensions. Utilizing our definition of the $*$ -exponential we now obtain to $O(\theta^2)$,

$$e^{-\frac{x^2}{\Delta^2}} * e^{-\frac{x^2}{\Delta^2}} \approx e^{-\frac{2\theta^2}{\Delta^4}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{\frac{\Delta^2}{2}(1 + \frac{2\theta^2}{\Delta^4})}}, \quad (20)$$

which can be compared to the $O(\theta^2)$ term in (18) [5]. The outline of derivation is given in Appendix A. Here NC effect can mask the normal decrease of the resultant width of the product of Gaussian packets and it is possible to reproduce the same width in the product Gaussian. This is the reason for the existence of NC scalar solitons [5].

This brings us to our contribution in the present context which is a new result. It seems natural that if noncommutativity is turned on, a single (Gaussian) wave packet also will not escape the broadening effect. Our scheme of computation can be applied to a Gaussian packet of width Δ ,

$$f(x) = e^{-\frac{x^2}{\Delta^2}}. \quad (21)$$

It is now straightforward to derive the $O(\theta^2)$ modification of the wavepacket using (7,21,19). The NC wavepacket to $O(\theta^2)$ is

$$(e^{-\frac{x^2}{\Delta^2}})_{NC} \approx e^{-\frac{\theta^2}{2\Delta^4}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{\Delta_{NC}^2}}, \quad (22)$$

where the new width is

$$\Delta_{NC}^2 = \Delta^2 (1 + \frac{\theta^2}{3\Delta^4}). \quad (23)$$

Clearly the new width is larger than the original one indicating that the sharpness of the packet is reduced. Interestingly, the correction depends on Δ such that the sharper the original

wavepacket, the more fuzzy it becomes. This is one of our main results which has not been reported before. A few relevant steps of the computation are provided in the Appendix B.

In the Figure, we have plotted Δ_{NC} *vs.* Δ for different values of θ . The graphs show that Δ_{NC} saturates for large enough values of Δ and the critical value of Δ increases as θ increases. For instance for $\theta^2 = 0.001$ and $\theta^2 = 1.0$ the saturation values of Δ are 0.27 and 1.6. This completes the first part of our work.

NC Harmonic Oscillator: In this part of the work our aim is to generalize the mechanics in NC spacetime in the coordinate representation. As we have mentioned in the Introduction, it appears that it is not possible to incorporate the NC corrections in the coordinate representation in a naive way by elevating the ordinary products to $*$ -products. On the other hand, we wish to avoid the conventional usage [3, 4] of a new canonical set of variables which are different from spatial coordinate and momentum. We now proceed to show that it is indeed possible to include NC corrections in the coordinate framework if the system is embedded in an extended phase space [7]. We will work with a specific model for an NC Harmonic Oscillator [9],

$$L = q_i \dot{x}_i + \frac{\theta}{2} \epsilon_{ij} q_i \dot{q}_j - \frac{k}{2} x^2 - \frac{1}{2m} q^2. \quad (24)$$

The connection between θ and the conventional models of noncommutativity arising in the coordinates of charged particle moving in a plane with a normal magnetic field is discussed in [9]. This first order Lagrangian possesses the following set of constraints,

$$\psi_1^i \equiv \pi_x^i - q^i ; \quad \psi_2^i \equiv \pi_q^i + \frac{\theta}{2} \epsilon^{ij} q^j \quad (25)$$

and the non-singular nature of the commutator matrix for the constraints

$$\Psi_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} = \{\psi_\alpha^i, \psi_\beta^j\} ; \quad \alpha, \beta \equiv 1, 2 \quad (26)$$

where

$$\Psi_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\delta^{ij} \\ \delta^{ij} & \theta \epsilon^{ij} \end{pmatrix}$$

indicates that the constraints are Second Class constraints (SCC) in the Dirac terminology [10]⁴. The SCCs require a change in the symplectic structure in the form of Dirac Brackets [10]

$$\{A, B\}_{DB} = \{A, B\} - \{A, \psi_\alpha^i\} \Psi_{\alpha\beta}^{(-1)ij} \{\psi_\beta^j, B\}. \quad (27)$$

The Dirac brackets are compatible with the SCCs. In the present case, the inverse of the constraint matrix

$$\Psi_{\alpha\beta}^{(-1)ij} = \begin{pmatrix} \theta \epsilon^{ij} & \delta^{ij} \\ -\delta^{ij} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

leads to the following set of Dirac brackets,

$$\{x^i, x^j\}_{DB} = \theta \epsilon^{ij} , \quad \{x^i, q^j\}_{DB} = \delta^{ij} ; \quad \{q^i, q^j\}_{DB} = 0,$$

⁴The commuting or First Class Constraints [10] that signal the presence of local gauge invariance will become relevant when we embed in the extended space [7].

$$\{q^i, \pi_q^j\}_{DB} = 0 ; \quad \{x^i, \pi_x^j\}_{DB} = \delta^{ij} ; \quad \{\pi_x^i, \pi_q^j\}_{DB} = 0. \quad (28)$$

The Hamiltonian is

$$H = \frac{k}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{2m}q^2. \quad (29)$$

Note the spatial noncommutativity and also the fact that q^i behaves effectively as the conjugate momentum to x^i . However, the noncommutativity does not show up explicitly in the Hamiltonian.

We now wish to reexpress this model in the background of normal (*i.e.* commuting) spatial coordinates which is necessary if one is interested in quantizing the model either in the Schrodinger or operator formalism. This requires an embedding of the model in a Batalin-Tyutin extended space [7]. (For details of the procedure and applications see for example [7, 8]. Here we only provide the results.) It is important to remember that the extended space is *completely* canonical and the Dirac brackets of (28) are not to be used. This is because the SCCs (25) are absent in the extended space and their place is taken by the FCCs that we derive below. Introducing a canonical set of auxiliary variables

$$\{\phi_\alpha^i, \phi_\beta^j\} = \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} \delta^{ij}, \quad \alpha, \beta = 1, 2 ; \quad \epsilon_{12} = 1, \quad (30)$$

it is possible to convert the SCCs in (25) to the following FCCs $\tilde{\psi}_\alpha^i$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\psi}_1^i &\equiv \psi_1^i + \phi_2^i = \pi_x^i - q^i + \phi_2^i, \\ \tilde{\psi}_2^i &\equiv \psi_2^i - \phi_1^i - \frac{\theta}{2}\epsilon^{ij}\phi_2^j = \pi_q^i + \frac{\theta}{2}\epsilon^{ij}q^j - \phi_1^i - \frac{\theta}{2}\epsilon^{ij}\phi_2^j, \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

so the $\tilde{\psi}_\alpha^i$ are commuting,

$$\{\tilde{\psi}_\alpha^i, \tilde{\psi}_\beta^j\} = 0. \quad (32)$$

Thus the embedded model in extended space possesses local gauge invariance. For any function Q of the variables, one can construct [7] its FC extension $\tilde{Q}$ so that

$$\{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{\psi}_\alpha^i\} = 0. \quad (33)$$

This implies that $\tilde{O}$ is gauge invariant in the extended space. The connection between the operator algebra in any reduced (*i.e.* gauge fixed) offspring with its gauge invariant parent model is the following identity:

$$\{A, B\}_{DB} = \{\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}\}. \quad (34)$$

In the present case we compute FC counterparts of x^i and q^i :

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{q}^i &= q^i - \phi_2^i, \quad \tilde{x}^i = x^i - \phi_1^i + \frac{\theta}{2}\epsilon^{ij}\phi_2^j, \\ \tilde{\pi}_x^i &= \pi_x^i, \quad \tilde{\pi}_q^i = \pi_q^i - \phi_1^i, \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

which in turn generates the FC Hamiltonian

$$\tilde{H} = \frac{k}{2}\tilde{x}^2 + \frac{1}{2m}\tilde{q}^2 = \frac{k}{2}(x^i - \phi_1^i + \frac{\theta}{2}\epsilon^{ij}\phi_2^j)^2 + \frac{1}{2m}(q^i - \phi_2^i)^2. \quad (36)$$

The FCCs take a simpler form,

$$\tilde{\psi}_1^i = \tilde{\pi}_x^i - \tilde{q}^i ; \quad \tilde{\psi}_2^i = \tilde{\pi}_q^i + \frac{\theta}{2}\epsilon^{ij}\tilde{q}^j. \quad (37)$$

This is the cherished form of the Hamiltonian where the NC correction has appeared explicitly in a fully canonical space with commuting space coordinates. However we would like to keep the set of dynamical equations [9]

$$\dot{q}^i = -kx^i ; \quad \dot{x}^i = \frac{1}{m}q^i + \theta k\epsilon^{ij}x^j \quad (38)$$

unchanged and this can be achieved by adding suitable terms in the Hamiltonian that are proportional to the FCCs. We construct $\tilde{H}_{Total}$

$$\tilde{H}_{Total} = \tilde{H} + \lambda_1^i \psi_1^i + \lambda_2^i \psi_2^i \quad (39)$$

and identify the arbitrary multipliers λ_α^i from (39):

$$\dot{q}^i = \{q^i, \tilde{H}_{Total}\} = \lambda_2^i ; \quad \dot{x}^i = \{x^i, \tilde{H}_{Total}\} = \lambda_1^i. \quad (40)$$

Hence the final form of the gauge invariant FC Hamiltonian generating the correct dynamics of [9] is

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H}_{Total} = & \frac{k}{2}(x^i - \phi_1^i + \frac{\theta}{2}\epsilon^{ij}\phi_2^j)^2 + \frac{1}{2m}(q^i - \phi_2^i)^2 \\ & + (\frac{1}{m}q^i + \theta k\epsilon^{ij}x^j)(\pi_x^i - q^i + \phi_2^i) - kx^i(\pi_q^i + \frac{\theta}{2}\epsilon^{ij}q^j - \phi_1^i - \frac{\theta}{2}\epsilon^{ij}\phi_2^j). \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

This is the "Master" model that we advertised in the Introduction and constitute the other main result of the present paper. The local gauge invariance in the enlarged space allows us to choose gauge conditions (according to our convenience) which in turn gives rise to different forms of symplectic structures and Hamiltonians, that , however, are gauge *equivalent*. This is the duality between different structures of noncommutativity, referred to earlier. As an obvious gauge choice, the unitary gauge

$$\psi_3^i \equiv \phi_1^i , \quad \psi_4^i \equiv \phi_2^i \quad (42)$$

restricts the system to the original physical subspace with the spatial noncommutativity as given in (28) being induced by the full set of SCCs (the original FCCs $\tilde{\psi}_1^i, \tilde{\psi}_2^i$ and the gauge fixing constraints ψ_3^i, ψ_4^i).

On the other hand, the following non-trivial gauge

$$\psi_3^i \equiv \phi_2^i ; \quad \psi_4^i \equiv \phi_1^i - \frac{\theta}{2}\epsilon^{ij}\phi_2^j + c\epsilon^{ij}\pi_x^j, \quad (43)$$

with c being an arbitrary parameter, generates the constraint matrix

$$\Psi_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} = \{\tilde{\psi}_\alpha^i, \psi_\beta^j\} \quad (44)$$

where,

$$\Psi_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -\delta^{ij} \\ 0 & 0 & -\delta^{ij} & 0 \\ 0 & \delta^{ij} & 0 & -\delta^{ij} \\ \delta^{ij} & 0 & \delta^{ij} & \theta\epsilon^{ij} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The inverse matrix

$$\Psi_{\alpha\beta}^{(-1)ij} = \begin{pmatrix} \theta\epsilon^{ij} & \delta^{ij} & 0 & \delta^{ij} \\ -\delta^{ij} & 0 & \delta^{ij} & 0 \\ 0 & -\delta^{ij} & 0 & 0 \\ -\delta^{ij} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

induces the Dirac brackets,

$$\{x^i, x^j\}_{DB} = (\theta + 2c)\epsilon^{ij} ; \quad \{x^i, \pi_x^j\}_{DB} = \delta^{ij} ; \quad \{\pi_x^i, \pi_x^j\}_{DB} = 0. \quad (45)$$

The choice $c = -\theta/2$ reduces the phase space to a canonical one with the Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{k}{2}x^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2m} + \frac{k\theta^2}{8}\right)\pi_x^2 - \frac{k\theta}{2}\epsilon^{ij}x^i\pi_x^j. \quad (46)$$

Rest of the non-trivial Dirac brackets are not important in the present case. This structure is in fact identical to the one studied in [4].

Another interesting gauge is

$$\psi_3^i \equiv q^i - \phi_2^i + ax^i ; \quad \psi_4^i \equiv x^i - \phi_1^i + \frac{\theta}{2}\epsilon^{ij}\phi_2^j + bq^i, \quad (47)$$

where a and b are arbitrary parameters. Once again, the subsequent constraint matrix

$$\Psi_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -a\delta^{ij} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -b\delta^{ij} \\ a\delta^{ij} & 0 & 0 & -\delta^{ij} \\ 0 & b\delta^{ij} & \delta^{ij} & \theta\epsilon^{ij} \end{pmatrix}$$

and its inverse

$$\Psi_{\alpha\beta}^{(-1)ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{\delta^{ij}}{ab} & \frac{\delta^{ij}}{a} & 0 \\ \frac{\delta^{ij}}{ab} & \frac{\theta\epsilon^{ij}}{b^2} & 0 & \frac{\delta^{ij}}{b} \\ -\frac{\delta^{ij}}{a} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{\delta^{ij}}{b} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

results in the symplectic structure,

$$\{x^i, x^j\}_{DB} = 0 ; \quad \{q^i, q^j\}_{DB} = \frac{\theta}{b^2}\epsilon^{ij} ; \quad \{x^i, q^j\}_{DB} = -\frac{\delta^{ij}}{ab}. \quad (48)$$

The choice of the parameters $a = \pm 1, b = \mp 1$ fixes q^i to be the conjugate momentum to x^i but *the momentum variables have now become noncommutative*. Comparing the Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{k}{2}q^2 + \frac{1}{2m}x^2 \quad (49)$$

with (29) (where x^i were noncommutative) one observes that q^i and p^i have replaced one another. This exercise clearly demonstrates the fact that coordinate or momentum noncommutativity are actually different sides of the same coin and are connected by gauge transformations.

We conclude with a brief comment on the angular momentum L of the system. Remembering that in the extended space, the symplectic structure is completely canonical, L will have the obvious form

$$L = \epsilon^{ij}(x^i \pi_x^j + q^i \pi_q^j + \phi_1^i \phi_2^j). \quad (50)$$

Upon utilizing the canonical commutators, L will generate correct transformations on the degrees of freedom. But notice that L is not gauge invariant (*i.e.* FC) in the extended space. Its FC generalization will be,

$$L = \epsilon^{ij}(\tilde{x}^i \tilde{\pi}_x^j + \tilde{q}^i \tilde{\pi}_q^j), \quad (51)$$

since $\tilde{\phi}_\alpha^i = 0$. To recover the correct transformations of the physical variables one has to construct L_{Total} ,

$$L_{Total} = L + \xi_\alpha^i \tilde{\psi}_\alpha^i, \quad (52)$$

$$\xi_1^i = \epsilon^{ij}(-\phi_1^j + \frac{\theta}{2}\epsilon^{jk}\phi_2^k); \quad \xi_2^i = -\epsilon^{ij}\phi_2^j.$$

In the unitary gauge $\tilde{L}_{Total}$ reduces to the expression given in [9]. For other gauge choices the structure of angular momentum will be different and the corresponding Dirac brackets will reproduce the transformation.

Acknowledgements: It is a pleasure to thank Professor J.Gamboa and Professor A.P.Polychronakos for correspondence and Professor R.Banerjee and Professor P.Mitra for discussions. Correspondence with Dr. B.Muthukumar is gratefully acknowledged.

Appendix A: The $*$ -product of two Gaussians is

$$e^{-\frac{x^2}{\Delta^2}} * e^{-\frac{x^2}{\Delta^2}} = \sum_{n=0, m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1/\Delta^2)^{n+m}}{n!m!} (x^2)^n * (x^2)^m. \quad (53)$$

We have assumed that the NC correction in each Gaussian derived in (22-23) has been included in Δ in (53). Again, to $O(\theta^2)$, this can be simplified to

$$e^{-\frac{x^2}{\Delta^2}} * e^{-\frac{x^2}{\Delta^2}} \approx \sum_{n=0, m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1/\Delta^2)^{n+m}}{n!m!} [(x^2)^{n+m} - 2\theta^2 nm(m+n-1)(x^2)^{m+n-2}]$$

$$\approx e^{-2\frac{x^2}{\Delta^2}} - 2\frac{\theta^2}{\Delta^4}(1 - 2\frac{x^2}{\Delta^2})e^{-2\frac{x^2}{\Delta^2}} \approx e^{-\frac{2\theta^2}{\Delta^4}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{\frac{\Delta^2}{2}(1+\frac{2\theta^2}{\Delta^4})}}. \quad (54)$$

Appendix B: We consider only up to $O(\theta^2)$ terms. Using (19) it can be proved that

$$(x^2)_*^n \approx (x^2)^n - \theta^2 \frac{n(n-1)(2n-1)}{6} (x^2)^{n-2}. \quad (55)$$

The result (22-23) can be derived using the identity

$$\sum_{m=1}^n m^2 = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6}. \quad (56)$$

References

- [1] For reviews see for example M.R.Douglas and N.A.Nekrasov, Rev.Mod.Phys. 73(2001)977; R.J.Szabo, *Quantum Field Theory on Noncommutative Spaces*, hep-th/0109162.
- [2] N.Seiberg and E.Witten, JHEP 9909(1999)032.
- [3] V.P.Nair and A.P.Polychronakos, Phys.Lett. B505 (2001)267; J.Gamboa, M.Loewe and J.C.Rojas, Phys.Rev. D64 (2001)067901.
- [4] B.Muthukumar and P.Mitra, Phys.Rev. D66 (2002) 027701.
- [5] R.Gopakumar, S.Minwalla and A.Strominger, JHEP 0005 (2000)020.
- [6] R.J.Szabo, *Magnetic Backgrounds and Noncommutative Field Theory* physics/0401142
- [7] I.A.Batalin and I.V.Tyutin, Int.J.Mod.Phys. A6 3255(1992).
- [8] See for example N.Banerjee, R.Banerjee and S.Ghosh, Nucl.Phys. B427 257(1994); M.-U.Park and Y.-J.Park, Int.J.Mod.Phys. A13 2179(1998); S.Ghosh, Phys. Rev. D66: 045031(2002).
- [9] R.Banerjee, Mod.Phys.Lett. A17 (2002) 631.
- [10] P.A.M.Dirac, *Lectures on Quantum Mechanics* (Yeshiva University Press, New York, 1964).